Vibration Stability Measurements of the XFEL Cryomodule Support Systems

1) Pull Rod Version (Zugstangenlösung), 'Version A

2) Bolt Version (Stehbolzenlösung), 'Version B'



R. Amirikas, A. Bertolini, W. Bialowons

### Definitions

Correlation (f) = $<X(f).Y^{*}(f)>/\sqrt{[<X(f)X^{*}(f)><Y(f)Y^{*}(f)>]}$ PSD= Power Spectrum Density ( $\mu m^2/Hz$ )  $X(f)X^{*}(f) = PSD_{signal1}$  $Y(f)Y^{*}(f) = PSD_{signal2}$ Coherence (f) = | Correlation (f) | Amplitude Transfer Function = | XY\* | /XX\*  $\sim \sqrt{\text{PSD}_{\text{signal2}}} / \sqrt{\text{PSD}_{\text{signal1}}}$ 

## Pull Rod, Version A



#### Quadrupole end (fixed point)



Sensor positions (in V + HT):



Other end



Beam vs. vessel top (both ends)
Quad end vs. middle (no support)
Beam vs. support
Reference measurement on the floor
Comparison between 2 points on the support (X1, X2)

## Pull Rod, Version A (Quad End, Vertical)



PSD, Vertical, beam vs. top (quad end)





Integrated PSD @ f > 1 Hz, Vertical, beam vs. top (quad end)

From TF, one sees peaks at 3.4, 8.6, 16.35 Hz
Amplification Factor (AF) @ 1 Hz: vessel top/ceiling beam=193/92~2
Good coherence (i.e. > 0.5), only upto 3 Hz
In the vertical direction, support is not rigid.

# Pull Rod, Version A (Quad End, Horizontal Transverse)



PSD, HT, beam vs. top (quad end)





#### Integrated PSD @ f > 1 Hz, HT, beam vs. top (quad end)

Coupling of many of these peaks in both vertical and horizontal directions
Amplification Factor (AF) @ 1 Hz: vessel top/ceiling beam=274/150~1.8
Good coherence (i.e. > 0.5), only upto 3 Hz, same as vertical.
In the horizontal transverse direction, support is not rigid.

## Pull Rod, Version A (Other End, Vertical)



# PSD, Vertical, beam vs. top (other end)





Integrated PSD @ f > 1 Hz, Vertical, beam vs. top (other end)

Verical direction in the other end, rigid
AF @ 1Hz of vessel top/ ceiling beam=163/141~1.2
Damping of the vessel top signal after 25 Hz?

# Pull Rod, Version A (Other End, Horizontal Transverse)





#### PSD, HT, beam vs. top (other end)



Integrated PSD @ f > 1 Hz, HT, beam vs. top (other end)

 Horizontal transverse direction in the other end, not rigid
 AF @ 1Hz of vessel top/ ceiling beam=400/216~1.9

# Geophone Measurements in in Horizontal Transverse



Geophone measurements were done comparing two points within the support structure: (X1, Y1) vs. (X2, Y2). It turns out that X1/Y1~1, and so is X2/Y2. The difference is in when one looks at (X1, X2)



• AF @ 2 Hz of X2/X1= 337/134~2.5

Conclusion: pull rod version of XFEL support system does not look very promising.

### **Bolt, Version B**



Other end





Quadrupole end (fixed point)

Sensor positions (in V + HT), same as version A

### Bolt, Version B (Quad End, Vertical)



#### PSD, Vertical, beam vs. top (quad





Integrated PSD @ f > 1 Hz, Vertical, beam vs. top (quad end)

An almost 1:1 transfer function in the vertical direction
AF vessel top/ceiling beam @ 1 Hz= 168/135~1.24
Coherence upto 21 Hz, except the drop of 5.6 Hz

# Bolt, Version B (Quad End, Horizontal Transverse)



PSD, HT, beam vs. top (quad end)





#### Integrated PSD @ f > 1 Hz, HT, beam vs. top (quad end)

AF vessel top/ceiling beam @ 1 Hz= 323/265~1.22
Coherence upto 5 Hz only
The low frequency peaks (< 7 Hz) are from the ceiling beams as our geophone measurements indicate.
We recommend shortening of this support system so that these peaks are pushed forward.

### Bolt, Version B (Other End, Vertical)



PSD, Vertical, beam vs. top (other end)





Integrated PSD @ f > 1 Hz, Vertical, beam vs. top (other end)

An almost 1:1 transfer function in the vertical direction, just as the quad end
 AF vessel top/ceiling beam @ 1 Hz= 186/150~1.24
 Coherence upto 23 Hz

### Bolt, Version B (Other End, Horizontal Transverse)



#### PSD, HT, beam vs. top (other end)





Integrated PSD @ f > 1 Hz, HT, beam vs. top (other end)

 AF vessel top/ceiling beam @ 1 Hz= 281/225~1.25
 Coherence upto 5 Hz only
 Same recommendation as the quad end